



AGENDA
FLORIDA GULF CONSORTIUM
GOAL SETTING WORKSHOP
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 2015

- 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM** **Business Meeting**
- 10:00 AM – 10:15 AM** **Break**
- 10:15 AM – 10:30 AM** **Review of Workshop Goals and Productivity Rules**
- Discussion Format
 - Productivity Rules
 - Workshop Goals
 - Future Topics For Discussion (but not today)
- 10:30 AM – 11:45 AM** **Presentations** (15 minute presentations/10 minutes for questions)
- 10:30 AM – 10:55 AM**
- *Summary of Tentative Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill Settlement* – Doug Robison, Environmental Science Associates and Mike Langton, Langton Associates
 - RESTORE Act (Clean Water Act fines)
 - Breakdown by RESTORE Act Pots
 - Breakdown by Gulf States
 - Payment Schedule
 - Natural Resource Damages
 - Economic Damages
 - Local Claims
 - Potential Leveraging Opportunities
- 10:55 AM – 11:20 AM**
- *Summary of Other Ongoing Gulf Restoration Projects and Planning Activities in Florida*--Phil Coram, Florida Department of Environmental Protection and Kelly Samek, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
 - On-Going Restoration Projects to Date
 - NRDA
 - NFWF
 - MOEX
 - Restore Pot 2 – Council Draft Funded Priorities List (FPL)
 - Review of proposed projects Gulf-wide
 - Review of Florida-specific projects
 - Schedule for final FPL
 - NFWF – Florida Gulf Environmental Benefit Fund

Restoration Strategy

- Mission and focus
- Planning process
- Schedule

11:20 AM – 11:45 AM

- *Review of State Expenditure Plan Requirements and Allowable Uses for Pot 3 Funds*—Doug Robison, Environmental Science Associates and Ann Redmond, Brown and Caldwell
 - Legal and Review Requirements of State Expenditure Plans
 - Council Goals and Objectives
 - Eligible Projects, Programs and Activities for Pot 3
 - Infrastructure Limitation
 - Summary of Projects Included in the DEP Project Portal

11:45 AM – 12:15 PM

Break for Box Lunch Distribution

12:15 PM -- 12:45 AM

Summary of Questionnaire Results

- Outcomes
- Gulf Council Goals & Objectives
- Types of Projects
- Geographic Distribution of Projects

12:45 PM – 1:30 PM

Discussion on Florida Goals and Objectives

- BP Settlement Implications
- Review Draft Florida Goals
- Discuss Primary and Secondary Considerations
- Discuss Any Additions Under Consideration
- Document Any Consensus Reached
- Review Draft Florida Objectives
- Discuss Primary and Secondary Considerations
- Discuss Any Additions Under Consideration
- Document Any Consensus Reached

1:30 PM – 2:45 PM

Geographic Distribution of Projects

- Reminder of Survey Responses
- Facilitator's Summary/Suggestions
- Consensus Discussion: Should geography be a primary consideration in Florida's plan?
- Consensus Discussion: Should a portion of the funding be allocated to each county or coastal watershed?
- Consensus Discussion: Should the disproportionate counties receive more of the funding?
- Document Any Consensus Reached

2:45 PM – 3:00 PM

Break

3:00 PM – 4:15 PM

Economic and Environmental Projects

- Reminder of Survey Responses
- Facilitator's Summary/Suggestions
- Consensus Discussion: Do we need to define allocations for either economic development or environmental projects?
- Consensus Discussion: Should some Pot 3 funding be designated to study the long term threat/impact of oil remaining in the Gulf and/or other rigs that may have similar structural issues?
- Document any Consensus Reached

4:15 PM – 5:00 PM

Wrap Up and Public Comments

- Facilitator's Summary
- Feedback
- Chairman's Comments
- Public Comments

5:00 PM

Adjourn

Notice of Meeting/Workshop Hearing

OTHER AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATIONS

Gulf Consortium

The Gulf Consortium announces a public meeting, to which all persons are invited.

DATE AND TIME: August 26, 2015, 10:00 am, Eastern Time.

PLACE: Hilton St. Petersburg Bayfront, 333 1st Street South, St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.

GENERAL SUBJECT MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: The Board of Directors of the Gulf Consortium will meet to conduct a Goal Setting Workshop.

A copy of the agenda may be obtained by contacting: Ginger Delegal at 850-922-4300 or gdelegal@fl-counties.com; or, see www.FACRestore.com.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this workshop/meeting is asked to advise the agency at least 3 days before the workshop/meeting by contacting: Ginger Delegal at (850)922-4300 or gdelegal@fl-counties.com. If you are hearing or speech impaired, please contact the agency using the Florida Relay Service, 1(800)955-8771 (TDD) or 1(800)955-8770 (Voice).

If any person decides to appeal any decision made by the Board with respect to any matter considered at this meeting or hearing, he/she will need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceeding is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence from which the appeal is to be issued.

For more information, you may contact Ginger Delegal at (850)922-4300 or gdelegal@fl-counties.com; or, see www.FACRestore.com.



#1
PRODUCTIVITY RULES
FLORIDA GULF CONSORTIUM
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 2015

Productivity Rules

- Please silence all electronic devices.
- Everyone participates; no one dominates.
- Be (very) concise.
- Listen.
- It's okay to disagree, but:
 - Respect each other & suspend personal judgment.
 - Use time effectively, stay on task, and honor time limits.
- Use your name tent to get in the cue to comment.
- Consensus measures:
 - Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neutral
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
- Consensus is achieved when all members present can strongly agree, agree or are neutral on an item. If consensus is not achieved, the consensus measures in each category are documented and the reasons why some members did not support the item are also documented.



#2

Eligible Types of Projects, Programs
and Activities Authorized by the
RESTORE Act*

Florida Gulf Consortium Workshop
August 26, 2015

1. Restoration and protection of the natural resources, ecosystems, fisheries, marine and wildlife habitats, beaches and coastal wetlands of the Gulf Coast region.
2. Mitigation of damage to fish, wildlife, and natural resources.
3. Implementation of a federally-approved marine, coastal, or comprehensive conservation management plan, including fisheries monitoring.
4. Workforce development and job creation.
5. Improvements to or on State parks located in coastal areas affected by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.
6. Infrastructure projects benefiting the economy or ecological resources, including port infrastructure.
7. Coastal flood protection and related infrastructure.
8. Planning assistance.
9. Administrative costs of complying with the Act.
10. Promotion of tourism in the Gulf Coast region, including recreational fishing.
11. Promotion of the consumption of seafood harvested from the Gulf Coast region.

* Applicable to the Direct Component (Pot 1) and the Spill Impact Component (Pot 3) only; the Comprehensive Plan Component (Pot 2) focuses primarily on the environment.



#3
STATE EXPENDITURE PLAN
REQUIRED COMPONENTS
FLORIDA GULF CONSORTIUM
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 2015

The RESTORE Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(t)(3)(B), lists the requirements that each State Expenditure Plan must meet for the disbursement of Oil Spill Impact Allocation Funds, in accordance with the formula developed under § 1321(t)(3)(A). The Chairperson will review each State Expenditure Plan to ensure that it:

1. Meets one or more of the eligible activities under § 1321(t)(1)(B)(i) and/or (ii) and administrative costs limitations under § 1321 (t)(1)(B)(iii).
2. Contributes to the overall economic and ecological recovery of the Gulf Coast.
3. Takes into consideration the Comprehensive Plan and is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan.
4. Does not use more than 25% of the funds disbursed for eligible activities, unless the infrastructure limitation exception is met.

Infrastructure Limitation

Pursuant to the RESTORE Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(t)(3)(B)(ii), not more than 25 percent of the funds may be used by a State for infrastructure projects for eligible activities. States shall provide a statement that their eligible activities will meet the 25% infrastructure limitation or how they meet the exception described below. For the purposes of these guidelines, the term “infrastructure” has the same meaning as provided in 31 CFR § 34.2, as described below:

Infrastructure means the public facilities or systems needed to support commerce and economic development. These installations and facilities span a wide range, including highways, airports, roads, buildings, transit systems, port facilities, railways, telecommunications, water and sewer systems, public electric and gas utilities, levees, seawalls, breakwaters, major pumping stations, and flood gates. Infrastructure encompasses new construction, upgrades and repairs to existing facilities or systems, and associated land acquisition and planning.

Exception: A state may propose a State Expenditure Plan that uses more than 25% of its funds for infrastructure planning, if the State Expenditure Plan certifies that:

- Ecosystem restoration needs will be addressed by projects in the proposed State Expenditure Plan; and
- Additional investment in infrastructure is required to mitigate the impacts of the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill to the ecosystem or economy.



#4
Goals & Objectives Handout
Florida Gulf Consortium Workshop
August 26, 2015

GULF COAST ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION COUNCIL (COUNCIL) ADOPTED GOALS

For Discussion~Primary Goals for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

1. ***Restore and Conserve Habitat*** – Restore and conserve the health, diversity, and resilience of key coastal, estuarine, and marine habitats.
2. ***Restore Water Quality*** – Restore and protect water quality of the Gulf Coast region’s fresh, estuarine, and marine waters.
3. ***Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources*** – Restore and protect healthy, diverse, and sustainable living coastal and marine resources.
4. ***Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy*** – Enhance the sustainability and resiliency of the Gulf economy.

For Discussion~Secondary Goals for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

5. ***Enhance Community Resilience*** – Build upon and sustain communities with capacity to adapt to short- and long-term changes, including economic resilience.

GULF COAST ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION COUNCIL (COUNCIL) ADOPTED OBJECTIVES

For Discussion~Primary Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

1. ***Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats***
2. ***Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources***
3. ***Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources***
4. ***Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines***

For Discussion~Secondary Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

5. ***Promote Community Resilience, Including Economic Resilience***
6. ***Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education***
7. ***Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes***

Possible Additions to the Objectives for Discussion

8. ***Restore, Diversify, and Revitalize the Gulf Economy with Economic and Environmental Restoration Projects***

DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GULF COUNCIL'S SEVEN OBJECTIVES

1. **Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats** – Restore, enhance, and protect the extent, functionality, resiliency, and sustainability of coastal, freshwater, estuarine, wildlife, and marine habitats. These include barrier islands, beaches, dunes, coastal wetlands, coastal forests, pine savannahs, coastal prairies, submerged aquatic vegetation, oyster reefs, and shallow and deep water corals.

Example project types

- Restoration, enhancement, creation, and protection of important coastal, freshwater, estuarine, and marine habitats;
 - Removal of invasive species;
 - Habitat protection and conservation projects implemented through:
 - Active management;
 - Acquisition, voluntary management agreements;
 - Protected area management;
 - Perpetual management;
 - Conservation easements,
 - Other conservation activities.
2. **Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources** – Restore, improve, and protect the Gulf Coast region's fresh, estuarine, and marine water resources by reducing or treating nutrient and pollutant loading; and improving the management of freshwater flows, discharges to and withdrawals from critical systems.

Example project types

- Implementation of watershed best management practices;
 - Improved agricultural and silvicultural management practices;
 - Enhanced stormwater and/or wastewater management;
 - Improved quality and quantity of freshwater flows, discharges, and withdrawals;
 - Sediment runoff management;
 - Other foundational water quality concerns.
3. **Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources** – Restore and protect healthy, diverse, and sustainable living coastal and marine resources including finfish, shellfish, birds, mammals, reptiles, coral, and deep benthic communities.

Example project types

- Recovery of threatened and endangered species;
- Reduction of overfishing and bycatch;
- Improved fisheries assessments;

- Sustainable resource management of commercially and recreationally important activities (such as fishing, hunting, and wildlife watching);
 - Increased resource stocks;
 - Invasive and nuisance species management and removal;
 - Improved enforcement, and other protective measures.
4. **Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines** – Restore and enhance ecosystem resilience, sustainability, and natural defenses through the restoration of natural coastal, estuarine, and riverine processes, and/or the restoration of natural shorelines.

Example project types

- Removal of barriers to improve freshwater inflow and fish passage;
 - Improved sediment management (e.g., through increased beneficial use, dedicated dredging, and sediment capture structures);
 - Restoration of coastal wetlands, restoration of eroded shorelines;
 - River diversions (also known as river re-introduction projects) and other types of hydrologic restoration;
 - Natural ridge restoration;
 - Implementation of living shoreline techniques;
 - Other restoration techniques that address natural processes and shorelines.
 -
5. **Promote Community Resilience** – Build and sustain Gulf Coast communities’ capacity to adapt to short- and long-term natural and man-made hazards, particularly increased flood risks associated with sea-level rise and environmental stressors. Promote ecosystem restoration that enhances community resilience through the re-establishment of non-structural, natural buffers against storms and flooding.

Example project types

- Capacity for local governments, businesses, and community-based organizations to adapt;
- Infrastructure risk assessments;
- Advanced natural resource planning and natural resource recovery planning with locally-driven solutions;
- Long-term land use planning as it relates to the management and sustainability of coastal resources;
- Acquisition and/or preservation of undeveloped lands in coastal high-hazard areas (e.g., as buffers against storm surge and sea level rise); non-structural storm and surge protection;
- Design of incentive-based mitigation programs;
- Engagement with and among local communities and other measures that build community resiliency through ecosystem restoration.

- Projects and programs that promote community resilience should be tied to ecosystem restoration or protection.
6. **Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education** – Promote and enhance natural resource stewardship efforts that include formal and informal educational opportunities, professional development and training, communication, and actions for all ages.

Example project types

- Environmental stewardship and education programs tied to Gulf Coast resources that encourage and coordinate the use of existing environmental education and outreach networks and institutions;
- Establishment of more effective relationships between research and education communities;
- Provision of meaningful hands-on ecosystem education that includes local, cultural, environmental and economic values with the belief that education will encourage action toward a healthier Gulf Coast.

Projects and programs which promote natural resource stewardship and environmental education should be tied to ecosystem restoration or protection.

7. **Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes** – Improve science-based decision-making processes used by the Council.

Example project types

- a. Science-based adaptive management and project-level and regional ecosystem monitoring, including the coordination and interoperability of ecosystem monitoring programs;
- b. Regional database and expert systems used to warehouse ecosystem data;
- c. Improved ecosystem restoration outcome and impact measurement and reporting;
- d. Development of local and regional ecosystem models to apply the monitoring information gained and address the critical uncertainties related to restoration to adaptively manage and inform Council decision-making processes related to ecosystem investments.





#5
Geographic Distribution
Florida Gulf Consortium Workshop
August 26, 2015

There are many possible ways to address geographic distribution in the Florida State Expenditure Plan. There are four approaches described below for discussion purposes.

- 1. No Geography**--Don't establish a geographic formula. Projects will be selected based on their economic and environmental benefits. Note that some counties may not have any projects selected using this approach.
- 2. Use the Pot 1 Approach**--Use the same formula as Pot 1—75% goes to the eight disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% goes to the remaining 15 counties. The projects themselves would be selected/prioritized based on their economic and environmental benefits.
- 3. Even Steven**--Allocate all the money evenly geographically—4.43% (1/23) would fund some project(s) in each county. Counties could pool their funding for larger, regional projects if they wish. Projects in each geographic area would be selected based on their economic and environmental benefits.
- 4. Hybrid of Geography and Project Benefits**--Allocate a portion of the money to be geographically distributed among the 23 counties and the remainder used to fund projects, not based on geography but on project environmental and economic benefits. The portion of the funding for each county would still be subject to project evaluation and prioritization for environmental and economic benefits.



#6
Economic & Environmental Partitions
Florida Gulf Consortium Workshop
August 26, 2015



Your feedback indicates that, overall, a pre-set partition for either economic or environmental projects is not desirable.

Pros for Partitioning Some Funding for Certain Kinds of Projects

- Ensures that some projects of each type are funded.
- Some concern that economic projects will not receive the emphasis they deserve.

Cons for Partitioning Some Funding for Certain Kinds of Projects

- Many directors commented that they felt that projects with the most benefits—whether economic, environmental, or both—should be the ones selected and therefore a partition was unnecessary.
- Many directors commented that they were concerned that if funds were partitioned, that the best projects with multiple benefits would not be selected.

A few directors suggested that some funds should be set aside for future projects or impacts, scientific research, or both.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q1 Please provide your contact information

Answered: 30 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses	
Name	100.00%	30
Company	100.00%	30
Address	100.00%	30
Address 2	33.33%	10
City/Town	100.00%	30
State/Province	100.00%	30
ZIP/Postal Code	100.00%	30
Country	100.00%	30
Email Address	100.00%	30
Phone Number	100.00%	30

#	Name	Date
1	Collier Merrill	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	Kelly windes	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	Alan Pierce	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	Mike Thomas	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	Tim Alexander	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	Len Sosamon	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	TOM HENNING	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	Peter H. Bos	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	Cheryl Sanders	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	Ken Cheek for Comm. Scott Adams	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	Lane Lynchard	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	Pamela Anderson	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	Lesley Miller Jr.	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	George Neugent	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	Jim Moody	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	Jack Mariano	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	Neal Wade	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	Michael Sole	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	Warren Yeager	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	Lino Maldonado	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	Sara Comander	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	David Edwards	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	Charlie Hunsicker	7/13/2015 2:19 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

24	Betsy	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	Christopher Constance	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	John Manning	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	Susan Latvala	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	John Meeks	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	Charles Hines	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	Grover C. Robinson, IV	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Company	Date
1	Merrill Land Company	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	Okaloosa county	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	Franklin County Commission	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	Bay County Board of County Commissioners	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	Dixie County Board of County Commissioners	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	Hernando County	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	COLLIER	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	Legendary LLC	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	Franklin County Board of County Commissioners	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	Citrus County Board of County Commissioners	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	Santa Rosa County	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	Capt. Anderson's Marina	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	Hillsborough County Board of County Commission	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	Monroe County	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	Taylor County Board of County Commissioners	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	Pasco County	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	Economic Development Academy	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	Florida Power & Light	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	Gulf County Florida	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	Wyndham Vacation Rentals	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	Walton County BCC	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	Wakulla County	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	Manatee County Government	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	Jefferson County Commission	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	Charlotte County Board of County Commissioners	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	Lee County Commission	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	Pinellas County	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	Levy BOCC	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	Sarasota County	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	Escambia BoCC	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Address	Date
1	226 S Palafox St	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	787 spring lake drive	8/10/2015 5:14 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

3	34 Forbes St.	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	840 West 11th Street	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	PO BOX 1206	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	20 North Main Street	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	3305 TAMIAMI TR	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	4471 Legendary Drive	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	33 Market St. Ste. 203, Apalachicola, FL 32320	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	110 N. Apopka Ave.	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	Caroline St	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	5550 N. Lagoon Drive	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	601 E. Kennedy Blvd.	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	25 Ships Way	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	201 E Green Dt	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	8731 Citizens Dr	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	173B Rose Administration	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	215 South Monroe St	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	1000 Cecil G Costin Blvd.	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	546 Mary Esther Blvd	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	Nelson Avenue	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	PO Box 1263	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	1112 Manatee Avenue W.	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	387 de Sercey Lane	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	18500 Murdock Circle	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	2201 First Street	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	109 Phillios Way	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	P O Box 310	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	1600 Ringling Blvd	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	221 S. Palafox Pl	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Address 2	Date
1	11th floor	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	P. O. Box 641	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
3	Suite 810	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
4	3039 Crawfordville Highway	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
5	Suite 536	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
6	NA	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
7	0	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
8	Court St	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
9	2nd floor	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
10	Suite 400	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	City/Town	Date
1	Pensacola	8/12/2015 2:42 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

2	Destin	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	Apalachicola	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	Panama City	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	Cross City	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	Brooksville	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	NAPLES	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	Destin	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	Carrabelle	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	Inverness	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	Milton	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	Panama City Beach	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	Tampa	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	Big Pine Key	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	Perry	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	New Port Richey	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	Tuscaloosa	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	Tallahassee	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	Port St Joe	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	Fort Walton Beach	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	DeFuniak Springs	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	Crawfordville	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	Bradenton	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	Monticello	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	Port Charlotte	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	Fort Myers	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	Palm Harbor	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	Bronson	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	Sarasota	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	Pensacola	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	State/Province	Date
1	Florida	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	Fla	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	FL	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	Florida	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	FL	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	Florida	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	Florida	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	Florida	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	FL	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	FL	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	FL	7/24/2015 11:45 AM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

12	Florida	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	Florida	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	fl	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	FL	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	FL	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	Alabama	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	Florida	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	Florida	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	Florida	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	Fl	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	FL	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	FL	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	FL	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	FL	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	Florida	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	FL	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	Fl	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	Fl	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	Florida	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	ZIP/Postal Code	Date
1	32503	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	32541	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	32320	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	32401	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	32628	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	34601	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	34112	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	32541	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	32322	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	34450	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	32570	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	32408	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	33601	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	33043	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	32348	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	34654	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	35487	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	32301	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	32456	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	32548	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	32435	7/13/2015 5:46 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

22	32327	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	34206	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	3244	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	33948	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	33902	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	34683	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	32621	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	34236	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	32502	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Country	Date
1	US	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	Okaloosa	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	US	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	United States	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	United States	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	USA	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	United States	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	Okaloosa	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	United States	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	USA	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	US	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	USA	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	US	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	usa	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	USA	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	Pasco	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	United States	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	USA	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	United States	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	USA	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	Walton	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	USA	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	USA	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	USA	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	USA	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	US	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	USA	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	Levy	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	USA	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	USA	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Email Address	Date

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

1	collier@merrilland.com	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	kwindes@co.okaloosa.fl.us	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	alanp@fairpoint.net	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	mthomas@baycountyfl.gov	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	tim.alexander@dixieemergency.com	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	LSossamon@hernandocounty.us	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	TOMHENNING@COLLIERGOV.NET	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	pbos@legendaryinc.com	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	cheryl@franklincountyflorida.com	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	Scott.Adams@citrusbocc.com	7/24/2015 12:09 PM
11	commlynchard@santarosa.fl.gov	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	pamheartsofhope@aol.com	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	millerlj@hillsbroughcounty.org	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	neugent-george@monroecounty-fl.gov	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	eaglesnestdeklebeach@excite.com	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	jmariano@pascocountyfl.net	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	fnwade@ua.edu	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	michael.sole@fpl.com	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	yeagerw@preble-rish.com	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	lino.maldonado@wynvr.com	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	comsara@co.walton.fl.us	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	dedwards@mywakulla.com	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	charlie.hunsicker@mymanatee.org	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	bbarfield@JeffersonCountyFL.gov	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	Chris.Constance@charlottecountyfl.gov	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	dist1@leegov.com	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	slatvala@verizon.net	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	district1@levycounty.org	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	chines@scgov.net	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	district4@myescambia.com	6/30/2015 2:14 PM
#	Phone Number	Date
1	850-438-0955	8/12/2015 2:42 PM
2	850 803 2320	8/10/2015 5:14 PM
3	850-653-5727 (cell)	7/31/2015 11:03 AM
4	850-248-8140	7/30/2015 3:10 PM
5	3524981426	7/29/2015 3:36 PM
6	352-754-4002	7/29/2015 10:38 AM
7	2392528393	7/28/2015 9:13 AM
8	850-337-8000 ext 402	7/27/2015 8:00 PM
9	850-697-2534	7/27/2015 11:32 AM
10	352-341-6560	7/24/2015 12:09 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

11	8509831877	7/24/2015 11:45 AM
12	850-814-4536	7/23/2015 1:30 PM
13	813-272-5720	7/23/2015 1:12 PM
14	305-304-4674	7/22/2015 9:49 AM
15	850 838 6528	7/17/2015 11:49 AM
16	7278478100	7/16/2015 11:38 AM
17	8508144264	7/15/2015 2:05 PM
18	850 228 2489	7/15/2015 9:16 AM
19	850-899-7337	7/14/2015 12:54 PM
20	(850) 301-3420	7/14/2015 10:52 AM
21	850-835-4834	7/13/2015 5:46 PM
22	850-926-0919	7/13/2015 3:29 PM
23	941-745-3727	7/13/2015 2:19 PM
24	850.933.4055	7/13/2015 9:17 AM
25	(941)743-1553	7/10/2015 4:17 PM
26	239-533-2224	7/10/2015 8:25 AM
27	727-642-0815	7/9/2015 4:43 PM
28	352-222-4442	7/6/2015 4:57 PM
29	941-861-5344	7/6/2015 12:46 PM
30	(850) 595-4940	6/30/2015 2:14 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q2 What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1

#	Responses	Date
1	Diversification	8/13/2015 12:53 PM
2	Restore water quality	8/10/2015 5:24 PM
3	improvements that add to the quality of coastal invironemtn for locals and visitors-- increased year around empoloyment oprotunities	7/31/2015 4:34 PM
4	Replenish and protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources	7/31/2015 11:11 AM
5	To cleanup things caused by the spill and to make sure we diversify our economy to protect us when it happens again.	7/30/2015 3:16 PM
6	Restoration of habitat for natural marine resources.	7/29/2015 3:54 PM
7	To restore the Gulf to a pristine status thereby preserving natural habitats and preserving and protecting water quality.	7/29/2015 10:55 AM
8	Environmental restoration that effect estuary systems	7/28/2015 9:21 AM
9	The most important outcome would be to see the funds divided fairly and equitably between the eligible Counties.	7/24/2015 12:18 PM
10	Long term improvement in our water quality	7/24/2015 11:49 AM
11	The most comprehensive and efficient us of funds to positively impact our environment and our economy.	7/23/2015 2:12 PM
12	Restore our sea shores to be the best that it can be.	7/23/2015 1:21 PM
13	Although the broad simple answer, for me, is directing resources to create a healthier Gulf of Mexico. #1 reducing nutrient loading from industrial waste, Ag runoff, septic tank leaching, thereby improving water quality in estuaries and the gulf.	7/22/2015 10:06 AM
14	Compress the pay out period	7/17/2015 11:59 AM
15	To have a plan that helps the counties facilitate projects that benefit them the most.	7/16/2015 11:55 AM
16	That the area's environment is restored as much as possible and the impacts on both economic development and tourism are also compensated	7/15/2015 2:40 PM
17	A State Expenditure Plan that leverages existing Restore and non-Restore Act projects to maximize environmental and economic gains for Florida	7/15/2015 9:32 AM
18	Economic Diversification	7/14/2015 1:03 PM
19	That it encompass all areas of loss, damage or interruption.	7/14/2015 12:05 PM
20	Restoration of lakes, rivers, our bays and the Gulf of Mexico	7/13/2015 5:52 PM
21	Restore and revitalize the Gulf Economy	7/13/2015 3:33 PM
22	Environmental improvement for coastal resiliency	7/13/2015 2:33 PM
23	Protection of our water supply.	7/13/2015 9:31 AM
24	Achieve financial parity among the gulf coastal communities by ensuring that projects are funded equitably in each of the 23 impacted counties.	7/10/2015 4:18 PM
25	Restore the environment and the economy of the Florida Gulf Coast	7/10/2015 11:23 AM
26	A concise group of projects that work in concert with the county's goal of a sustainable Caloosahatchee river ecosystem.	7/10/2015 8:36 AM
27	Restore water quality	7/9/2015 5:05 PM
28	Restoration/Rehabilitation of the Gulf Coast and its estuaries	7/6/2015 5:02 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

29	Long-lasting and real restoration to the Florida waters and ecosystems.	7/6/2015 12:55 PM
----	---	-------------------

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire


Q3 What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1

#	Responses	Date
1	Long term goals	8/13/2015 12:53 PM
2	Restore gulf economy	8/10/2015 5:24 PM
3	sustainable environmentally friendly improvements such as fish hatcheries that can both replenish and supplement existing natural stocks and potentially start an aquaculture infrastructure for fingerlings	7/31/2015 4:34 PM
4	Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy	7/31/2015 11:11 AM
5	Clean up our bays and protect them from run off so they are better prepared to fight off future efforts of same.	7/30/2015 3:16 PM
6	A positive economic benefit from improved habitat and marine resources. (fisheries, shell fishing, shell fish industry, oysters, clams, etc. for both recreational and commercial benefit)	7/29/2015 3:54 PM
7	To enhance the Gulf communities by creating a sustainable economy.	7/29/2015 10:55 AM
8	marine habitat	7/28/2015 9:21 AM
9	The second most important outcome for Citrus County would be to see that project funding is done on a regional basis.	7/24/2015 12:18 PM
10	Long term improvement in Stormwater management	7/24/2015 11:49 AM
11	That we choose projects that will be cost effective within themselves and not add financial burdens to individuals or local & state governments, but instead provide long-term restoration, more jobs, and generate income for the entities involved.	7/23/2015 2:12 PM
12	Bring back our economy.	7/23/2015 1:21 PM
13	improvements that will stimulate the economy with jobs through actual shovels in the ground work - Everglades restoration by correcting previous direction of toxic flood control waters.	7/22/2015 10:06 AM
14	County should have more freedom with spending	7/17/2015 11:59 AM
15	To let the plan commence quickly and efficiently.	7/16/2015 11:55 AM
16	That a balanced portion of the funds are used to create quality jobs in the affected counties	7/15/2015 2:40 PM
17	A thoughtful process that demonstrates collaboration and consensus that addresses both local and statewide needs	7/15/2015 9:32 AM
18	Water Quality for all waterways and estuaries	7/14/2015 1:03 PM
19	That it be fairly distributed across the effected counties and in a manner consistent with that counties' losses.	7/14/2015 12:05 PM
20	Jobs for out citizens who now depend on the tourism industry	7/13/2015 5:52 PM
21	Restore Water Quality	7/13/2015 3:33 PM
22	Seafood/aquaculture activities receive capitol to jump start some very important initiatives in bi valve.	7/13/2015 2:33 PM
23	Protection of the gulf coast environment. This includes the affect of the oil spill 50 years down the road.	7/13/2015 9:31 AM
24	A balanced approach to improving water quality in the Gulf that includes both environmental projects as well as critical investments in infrastructure such as wastewater treatment.	7/10/2015 4:18 PM
25	To make sure there is a good balance across geographic areas of the Florida Gulf Coast and a balance between environment and economy	7/10/2015 11:23 AM
26	Treating Lee county's TMDL projects as a priority to enhance our requirements from the Federal government.	7/10/2015 8:36 AM
27	Replenish and protect living coastal and marine resources	7/9/2015 5:05 PM
28	Economic growth through eco-tourism and sale of fish/shellfish	7/6/2015 5:02 PM
29	Quick action on identifying and funding real projects that can begin the restoration process.	7/6/2015 12:55 PM

Q4 How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Restore Habitat	48.28% 14	44.83% 13	6.90% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.59
Restore Water Quality	62.07% 18	34.48% 10	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.41
Living Resources	51.72% 15	44.83% 13	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.52
Community Resilience	34.48% 10	48.28% 14	17.24% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.83
Gulf Economy	72.41% 21	20.69% 6	6.90% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.34

Q5 Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that the Consortium should place on the Council's goal in order of importance.


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Score
Restore and Conserve Habitat.	10.34% 3	24.14% 7	27.59% 8	13.79% 4	24.14% 7	29	2.83
Restore Water Quality.	48.28% 14	6.90% 2	20.69% 6	24.14% 7	0.00% 0	29	3.79
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	13.79% 4	20.69% 6	34.48% 10	20.69% 6	10.34% 3	29	3.07
Enhance Community Resilience.	0.00% 0	20.69% 6	3.45% 1	27.59% 8	48.28% 14	29	1.97
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	27.59% 8	27.59% 8	13.79% 4	13.79% 4	17.24% 5	29	3.34

Q6 How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	24.14% 7	58.62% 17	17.24% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.93

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire


Q7 Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 15

#	Responses	Date
1	Marine science to help determine damage to fisheries	8/10/2015 5:24 PM
2	matching funds with long term contributors to economy and or environment	7/31/2015 4:34 PM
3	The goals listed are very inclusive, but I do want to be certain anything we approve does not impede access to our Natural Resources, cause fees to be added in order to access, or negatively impact current jobs.	7/23/2015 2:12 PM
4	No	7/23/2015 1:21 PM
5	The most affected 8 counties are being funded in much more substantial ways than the 13 less affected counties. I think there should be a lot more flexibility to prioritize what is best for each, There maybe some great tourism/ economic projects that get pushed back because of the environmental pressure.	7/16/2015 11:55 AM
6	Job creation should be expressed in the Gulf Economy goal and probably is embedded elsewhere	7/15/2015 2:40 PM
7	Public Access	7/15/2015 9:32 AM
8	Diversify the Gulf economy	7/14/2015 1:03 PM
9	Goals 1 and 3 seem somewhat redundant. An economic diversity goal regarding jobs/industries development with specific incentives for businesses to re-locate or grow would be good.	7/14/2015 12:05 PM
10	Uniting coastal counties in a common goal and working together towards that end	7/13/2015 5:52 PM
11	We need to look inland to protect the water that flows from the rivers and streams into the Gulf.	7/13/2015 9:31 AM
12	No additional goals	7/10/2015 4:18 PM
13	No. These are big enough to handle any additional will pull attention away from the main effort.	7/10/2015 11:23 AM
14	N A	7/10/2015 8:36 AM
15	Long term research of damage from oil spill	7/9/2015 5:05 PM

Q8 Do you feel that any of the Council’s goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Restore and Conserve Habitat.	0.00% 0
Restore Water Quality.	0.00% 0
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	0.00% 0
Enhance Community Resilience.	10.34% 3
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	6.90% 2
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	10.34% 3
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	13.79% 4
No goals should be excluded	75.86% 22
Total Respondents: 29	

Q9 How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
1. Habitats	37.93% 11	62.07% 18	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.62
2. Water Resources	68.97% 20	27.59% 8	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.34
3. Living Resources	41.38% 12	55.17% 16	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.62
4. Natural Process/Shorelines	34.48% 10	62.07% 18	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.69
5. Community Resilience	20.69% 6	48.28% 14	24.14% 7	6.90% 2	0.00% 0	29	2.17
6. Stewardship & Education	20.69% 6	55.17% 16	20.69% 6	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	29	2.07
7. Science/ Decision Processes	20.69% 6	44.83% 13	24.14% 7	10.34% 3	0.00% 0	29	2.24

Q10 How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	10.34% 3	68.97% 20	10.34% 3	3.45% 1	6.90% 2	29	2.28

Q11 How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total	Score
Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats.	13.79% 4	37.93% 11	24.14% 7	6.90% 2	3.45% 1	6.90% 2	6.90% 2	29	5.03
Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources.	58.62% 17	17.24% 5	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	6.17
Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	10.34% 3	24.14% 7	27.59% 8	27.59% 8	6.90% 2	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	29	4.93
Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	3.45% 1	13.79% 4	20.69% 6	34.48% 10	27.59% 8	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	4.31
Promote Community Resilience.	13.79% 4	3.45% 1	13.79% 4	6.90% 2	13.79% 4	20.69% 6	27.59% 8	29	3.24
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	13.79% 4	20.69% 6	37.93% 11	27.59% 8	29	2.21
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	0.00% 0	3.45% 1	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	24.14% 7	31.03% 9	37.93% 11	29	2.10

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire


Q12 Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here:

Answered: 9 Skipped: 21

#	Responses	Date
1	More focus on economic development	8/13/2015 12:53 PM
2	Not additional; however, use these goals to maximize both environmental and economical benefit using available science. Thus, more direct benefit.	7/29/2015 3:54 PM
3	Workforce development, permanent jobs, promotion of tourism in the Gulf region, including fishing.	7/23/2015 2:12 PM
4	the 15 less affected counties need fleibility.	7/16/2015 11:55 AM
5	in the State Expenditure plan it should have the full scope of economic opportunities as well as environmental	7/15/2015 2:40 PM
6	promote things that would help the ability to diversify Florida's economy	7/14/2015 1:03 PM
7	It would appear that a few goals although identified separately, could be considered one and the same. This approach may more heavily weigh environmental initiatives over individual county priorities.	7/14/2015 12:05 PM
8	No	7/10/2015 11:23 AM
9	N A	7/10/2015 8:36 AM

Q13 Do you feel that any of the Council’s objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats.	0.00% 0
Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources.	0.00% 0
Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	0.00% 0
Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	0.00% 0
Promote Community Resilience.	17.24% 5
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	17.24% 5
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	13.79% 4
No objectives should be excluded.	58.62% 17
Total Respondents: 29	

Q14 From what kind of projects should the most long-term benefits for Florida be derived?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
The most benefit will be from economic-related projects.	17.24%	5
The most benefit will be from environmentally-related projects.	24.14%	7
The economic- and environmentally-related projects are equally beneficial.	55.17%	16
Other (please specify)	3.45%	1
Total		29

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	if a community decides that an economic project is best for them , they should not be penalized if it does not have environmental benefits.	7/16/2015 12:00 PM

Q15 How strongly do you agree that projects should be rated on their own merits, without different prioritization for economic versus environmental restoration activities?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	17.24% 5	44.83% 13	13.79% 4	20.69% 6	3.45% 1	29	2.48

Q16 How strongly do you feel that some environmental and/or economic restoration activities should be funded in the Florida State Expenditure Plan?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Environmental Restoration	65.52% 19	31.03% 9	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.07
Economic Restoration	51.72% 15	34.48% 10	10.34% 3	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	29	1.31

Q17 How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Environmental Benefit	79.31% 23	20.69% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.21
Economic Benefit	51.72% 15	37.93% 11	10.34% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	29	1.59

Q18 How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Environmental benefits higher priority than economic benefits	38.46% 10	15.38% 4	19.23% 5	15.38% 4	11.54% 3	26	2.46
Economic benefits higher priority than environmental benefits	11.11% 3	22.22% 6	37.04% 10	18.52% 5	11.11% 3	27	2.96
Priority should be placed equally on environmental and economic benefits	18.52% 5	37.04% 10	25.93% 7	18.52% 5	0.00% 0	27	2.44

Q19 How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Environmental benefits	32.14% 9	10.71% 3	32.14% 9	17.86% 5	7.14% 2	28	2.57
Economic benefits	32.14% 9	7.14% 2	32.14% 9	21.43% 6	7.14% 2	28	2.64

Q20 If a pre-set percentage of funds was established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
100% economic and 0% environmental	0.00%	0
75% economic and 25% environmental	10.34%	3
50% economic and 50% environmental	17.24%	5
25% economic and 75% environmental	31.03%	9
No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.	41.38%	12
Total		29

Q21 How strongly do you agree that geographic distribution should be considered during restoration activity prioritization?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	34.48% 10	44.83% 13	10.34% 3	3.45% 1	6.90% 2	29	2.03

Q22 How strongly do you agree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their economic and environmental benefits, without consideration of their location?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	3.45% 1	24.14% 7	13.79% 4	31.03% 9	27.59% 8	29	3.55

Q23 If a geographic consideration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick? Choose an item.


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Disproportionately versus proportionally affected counties.	By county	By watershed	By region	Project merit	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	24.14% 7	34.48% 10	17.24% 5	10.34% 3	13.79% 4	29	2.55

Q24 How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1 distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	27.59% 8	17.24% 5	10.34% 3	20.69% 6	24.14% 7	29	2.97

Q25 What do you think would be the fairest approach if a geographic criterion was applied? Pick one.

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
75/25 Approach	48.28% 14
Evenly-23 Gulf Coast counties	20.69% 6
No geographic considerations	10.34% 3
Evenly-18 watersheds.	10.34% 3
Other (please specify)	10.34% 3
Total	29

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	initially i strongly supported the split of 75/25, however, as economic recovery, over the last 5 years has rebounded for most of the 8 disproportionately affected counties, I've moderated my position with the health of the Gulf and by merit and region should be paramount.	7/22/2015 10:22 AM
2	find the best value projects with a balance equally with all counties	7/16/2015 12:04 PM
3	Watershed proportionality	7/13/2015 2:35 PM

Q26 How strongly do you agree that at least one restoration activity should be funded in each coastal watershed or county?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Coastal Watershed	37.93% 11	31.03% 9	6.90% 2	17.24% 5	6.90% 2	29	2.24
Coastal County	36.00% 9	36.00% 9	16.00% 4	8.00% 2	4.00% 1	25	2.08

Q27 How strongly do you agree that it is a good idea to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities?


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	17.24% 5	55.17% 16	6.90% 2	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	29	2.41

Q28 If a portion of funding was designated to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit).


Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
100% geographic and 0% environmental/economic	10.34% 3
75% geographic and 25% environmental/economic	34.48% 10
50% geographic and 50% environmental/economic	17.24% 5
25% geographic and 75% environmental/economic	13.79% 4
No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.	24.14% 7
Total	29

Q29 If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100.

Answered: 28 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
Economic restoration	28	528	19
Environmental restoration	37	747	20
Coastal Watershed	13	216	17
Coastal County	19	315	17
Disproportionately impacted 8 counties	30	607	20
The remaining 15 counties	18	387	21
Total Respondents: 28			

#	Economic restoration	Date
1	30	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	5	8/13/2015 3:45 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

3	10	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	15	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	50	7/29/2015 4:02 PM
6	30	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
7	25	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
8	30	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
9	50	7/23/2015 1:27 PM
10	15	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
11	50	7/15/2015 2:46 PM
12	20	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
13	30	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
14	25	7/13/2015 6:01 PM
15	75	7/13/2015 9:41 AM
16	0	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
17	33	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
18	25	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
19	10	7/6/2015 1:23 PM
#	Environmental restoration	Date
1	15	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	5	8/13/2015 3:45 PM
3	10	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	15	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	50	7/29/2015 4:02 PM
6	20	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
7	35	7/28/2015 9:28 AM
8	25	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
9	40	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
10	50	7/23/2015 1:27 PM
11	85	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
12	50	7/15/2015 2:46 PM
13	20	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
14	30	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
15	75	7/13/2015 6:01 PM
16	0	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
17	67	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
18	100	7/9/2015 5:18 PM
19	25	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
20	30	7/6/2015 1:23 PM
#	Coastal Watershed	Date
1	10	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	5	8/13/2015 3:45 PM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire


3	10	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	10	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	15	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
6	40	7/28/2015 9:28 AM
7	10	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
8	5	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
9	0	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
10	10	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
11	5	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
12	25	7/13/2015 3:40 PM
13	50	7/13/2015 2:36 PM
14	0	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
15	0	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
16	1	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
17	20	7/6/2015 1:23 PM
#	Coastal County	Date
1	20	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	5	8/13/2015 3:45 PM
3	10	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	10	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	15	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
6	40	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
7	5	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
8	0	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
9	100	7/17/2015 12:14 PM
10	10	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
11	5	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
12	25	7/13/2015 3:40 PM
13	25	7/13/2015 2:36 PM
14	0	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
15	0	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
16	25	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
17	20	7/6/2015 1:23 PM
#	Disproportionately impacted 8 counties	Date
1	15	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	80	8/13/2015 3:45 PM
3	40	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	40	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	10	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
6	0	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
7	75	7/24/2015 11:53 AM

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

8	10	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
9	0	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
10	33	7/16/2015 12:08 PM
11	25	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
12	80	7/14/2015 1:28 PM
13	25	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
14	50	7/13/2015 3:40 PM
15	13	7/13/2015 9:41 AM
16	34	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
17	0	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
18	50	7/10/2015 8:43 AM
19	12	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
20	15	7/6/2015 1:23 PM
#	The remaining 15 counties	Date
1	10	8/19/2015 6:49 PM
2	0	8/13/2015 3:45 PM
3	20	8/10/2015 5:37 PM
4	10	7/31/2015 11:19 AM
5	10	7/29/2015 11:23 AM
6	25	7/28/2015 9:28 AM
7	0	7/24/2015 12:27 PM
8	25	7/24/2015 11:53 AM
9	10	7/23/2015 2:24 PM
10	0	7/22/2015 10:33 AM
11	67	7/16/2015 12:08 PM
12	15	7/15/2015 9:44 AM
13	20	7/14/2015 1:28 PM
14	5	7/14/2015 12:12 PM
15	25	7/13/2015 2:36 PM
16	12	7/13/2015 9:41 AM
17	66	7/10/2015 4:19 PM
18	0	7/10/2015 11:33 AM
19	50	7/10/2015 8:43 AM
20	12	7/6/2015 6:09 PM
21	5	7/6/2015 1:23 PM

Q30 Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project:

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	Score
The project meets the Council's goals and objectives	51.72% 15	10.34% 3	6.90% 2	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	29	4.52
The project has environmental benefits	3.45% 1	55.17% 16	13.79% 4	17.24% 5	10.34% 3	0.00% 0	29	4.24
The project has economic benefits	13.79% 4	6.90% 2	24.14% 7	20.69% 6	20.69% 6	13.79% 4	29	3.31
The project is in a coastal watershed	0.00% 0	10.34% 3	24.14% 7	20.69% 6	24.14% 7	20.69% 6	29	2.79
The project is in a coastal county	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	17.24% 5	20.69% 6	24.14% 7	17.24% 5	29	3.10
The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties	20.69% 6	6.90% 2	13.79% 4	10.34% 3	10.34% 3	37.93% 11	29	3.03